UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,102	03/30/2004	Farshad Ghasripoor	129274-1/YOD GERD:0084	5554
41838 GENERAL EL	7590 11/15/200 ECTRIC COMPANY (	EXAMINER		
C/O FLETCHER YODER			. EDGAR, RICHARD A	
P. O. BOX 692 HOUSTON, T			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,		3745	·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/813,102	GHASRIPOOR ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Richard Edgar	3745			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ate, cause the application to become A	CATION.  reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on an	election of species filed 10	/23/2007.			
_					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.[	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)</li></ul>	and 41-44 is/are withdrawr red. pjected to.	n from consideration.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2004 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre  11) ▼ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11.	a) accepted or b) ⊠ ob e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/30/04, 3/20/06.	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Claims 10-15, 20-24, 30-33 and 41-44 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 23November 2007.

# Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

It does not state that the person making the oath or declaration acknowledges the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56.

#### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to because the numerals at the ends of the broken lines in FIG. 4 and FIG. 6 (1-1, 2-2) do not correspond to the view numbers of the sectional view (FIG. 5 and FIG. 7).

In FIG. 9, the wave spring is identified with numeral "66" instead of "70."

Application/Control Number: 10/813,102

Art Unit: 3745

Page 3

Also, in FIG. 9, the numeral "74" is not within the specification. The examiner believes the numeral should appear after "compliant seal assembly" in line 2 of paragraph 0034.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,102

**Art Unit: 3745** 

Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "hard" in claims 3-5 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The terms "ceramic," "metallic," and "cermet" are not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 16, 25-26 and 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0192074 A1 (Turnquist et al. hereinafter).

Turnquist et al. teach an abradable seal for the tips of rotor blades 110 comprising a substantially wear-resistant surface 150 positioned proximate the tips 180 of the blades 110; and a biasing member 185 disposed between the wear resistant surface 150 and the stationary housing 120, wherein the wear resistant surface is biased towards the tips of the plurality of blades (see paragraph 0013).

The housing 120 is a shroud assembly for a turbine stage (see paragraph 0012).

Art Unit: 3745

Paragraph 0015 discloses a variety of materials suitable for use as the wearresistant surface 150 including ceramic, metallic and cermet materials.

In FIG. 2, Turnquist et al. show a labyrinth seal comprising alternating teeth 200, 160 with the seal disposed between two teeth 200 on the inner periphery of the outer ring 140.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-9, 17-19, 27-29 and 38-41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Edgar whose telephone number is (571) 272-4816. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 7 am- 5 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on (571) 272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

**Art Unit: 3745** 

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

> /Richard Edgar/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3745

RE